2nd November 1936, at 12 of the clock, *Rajamantrapravina* S. P. Rajagopalachari, B.A., B.L., First Member of Council, in the Chair.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS—*concld.*

Banavar-Mudigere Road.

Q.—28. **Mr. K. A. RAHIM** (Minorities).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) what is the amount of grant paid to the Public Works Department by the Belur Municipal Council for the Banavar-Mudigere Road within the town limits;

(*b*) what is the extent of mileage for which the grant is paid;

(*c*) what is the amount spent for this section for the past ten years (yearwar details to be given)?

A.—**The SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT, PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (Diwan Bahadur N. N. Ayyangar).**—

(*a*) Rs. 200 per annum.

(*b*) One mile.

(*c*) Separate accounts for this length of road are not maintained.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—With reference to answer (*a*), is the entire amount spent for the improvement of that road of one mile?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—We attend to the improvement of that road but it cannot be said that the amount equal to that given by the municipality is spent on that length of the road. Separate account is not kept for that road section.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is the road in good condition?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Fairly good.

Mr. B. N. BORANNA GOWDA.—Is it being re-metalled periodically?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—We cannot re-metal it each year. That would be very costly. But all urgent and necessary repairs are being attended to.

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—Is it a State Fund road?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Yes.

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—Then, should not the Government contribute their quota for the maintenance and upkeep of the road?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Government do not contribute any quota. Repairs are done to the extent necessary and possible with the available grants.

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—When once a contribution is taken from the municipality, is it not necessary to maintain correct accounts of the actual expenditure on that road?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—This forms part of a big estimate. So separate accounts cannot be maintained. Instead of bothering about the accounts, if the member would bring to notice whenever the road is in bad repair, necessary steps will be taken to rectify it.

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—Are Government aware that the contribution given by local bodies for the improvement of roads is being diverted for some other purposes?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—The contribution from local bodies is credited to Government and the Government grant for roads is reduced to that extent.

Mr. Bagamane DEVA GOWDA.—Will Government maintain separate accounts at least in the future?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—It is not possible to maintain separate accounts.

Mr. B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Will Government be pleased to order that the amounts collected from a municipality should be spent for the improvement of roads in that area?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—Not possible. Every effort is being made to maintain the section in good condition.

Mr. B. SRINIVASA IYENGAR.—Has it come to the notice of Government that oftentimes municipal roads are neglected and the amount contributed by the municipality is utilised for other purposes?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—No; if cases of disrepair are brought to notice, necessary steps will be taken.

Mr. L. VEMA REDDY.—Instead of taking a contribution from the municipality, why not the allotment from State Funds be handed over to the local bodies?

Mr. N. N. AYYANGAR.—It is done in the case of premier municipalities which have separate engineering establishments.

Clerical Establishment in the Revenue Offices in Chitaldrug.

Q.—29. Mr. H. B. GUNDAPPA (Hassan Taluk).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) how many clerks are there in the District Office, Chitaldrug, and Sub-Division Offices, Chitaldrug and Davangere;

(*b*) how many of them are Brahmins and how many of them are non-Brahmins;

(*c*) for how long are these people in the said offices; and from what date;

(*d*) were any of the clerks transferred from these offices during the last three years; if so, who were they;

(*e*) how many promotion vacancies have occurred in the above offices during the last two years and who are the persons that are promoted in those vacancies;

(*f*) is it a fact that a tree marker was dismissed during 1935-36 in Davangere Taluk by the Sub-Division Officer, and, if so, is it a fact, that he is reinstated; what is the name of this so dismissed and reinstated person; what is his pay?

A.—The CHIEF SECRETARY to GOVERNMENT (Mr. B. T. Kesavaiengar).—

(*a*)—
District Office—
35 (2 are on leave and 1 post is vacant).
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
3.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
3 (1 post is vacant).

(*b*)—
District Office—
Brahmins ... 22+1*
Non-Brahmins ... 10+1*
(*On leave.)
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
Brahmins ... 3
Non-Brahmins ... Nil
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Brahmin ... 1
Non-Brahmin ... 1

(*c*)—
District Office—
Over 5 years ... 14
Over 4 years and within 5 years 1
Over 3 years and within 4 years 2
Within 3 years ... 17
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug
Over 5 years ... 2
Over 4 years and within 5 years 1
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Over 5 years ... 1
Over one year and within 2 years 1

(*d*)—
District Office—
Yes. (*Vide* list attached.) (*Vide* Appendix.)
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
None.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Yes.

(*e*)—
District Office—
2.
Sub-Division Office, Chitaldrug—
Nil.
Sub-Division Office, Davangere—
Nil.

1. Clerk's post in grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40 consequent on the appointment of B. V. Anantharamaiya as acting Revenue Inspector; Mr. S. A. Shamannaiya, B.SC., B.T., Shroff in grade Rs. 25—1—35, was promoted to the grade Rs. 25—1—30—1—40.
2. Judicial Head Munshi's vacancy—
The following promotions were made:—
(i) Mr. E. Govindarajulu Naik, B.A., B.L., from Rs. 50—2—60 to grade Rs. 60—4—80.